arXiv:1410.2667v1 [math.AC] 10 Oct 2014

# A BAER-KAPLANSKY THEOREM FOR MODULES OVER PRINCIPAL IDEAL DOMAINS

SIMION BREAZ

Abstract. We will prove that if $G$ and $H$ are modules over a principal ideal domain $R$ such that the endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}_R(R\oplus G)$ and $\mathrm{End}_R(R\oplus H)$ are isomorphic then $G\cong H$. Conversely, if $R$ is a Dedekind domain such that two $R$-modules $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic whenever the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(R\oplus G)$ and $\mathrm{End}_R(R\oplus H)$ are isomorphic then $R$ is a PID.

## 1. Introduction

The Baer-Kaplansky Theorem, [6, Theorem 108.1], states that two primary abelian groups with isomorphic endomorphism rings are necessarily isomorphic. This statement was extended to various classes of modules (abelian groups), e.g. in [8], [14], [16], [20], [21]. However straightforward examples show that in order to obtain such extensions we need to impose restrictions on these classes. For instance the endomorphism rings of the Prüfer group $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and of the group of $p$-adic integers $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ are both isomorphic to the ring $J_p$ of $p$-adic integers. This fact suggests that we need to restrict to some good classes of modules in order to obtain a Baer-Kaplansky type theorem. Such a result (valid for torsion-free modules over valuation domains) was proved in [21]. It is well known that Baer-Kaplansky Theorem cannot be extended to torsion-free groups (of rank 1) since there are infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic torsion-free groups of rank 1 whose endomorphism rings are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, [1]. However, similar results to Baer-Kaplansky Theorem hold for some special classes of torsion-free groups, see [2]. In the setting of modules over complete valuation domains W. May proved a theorem, [15, Theorem 1], for reduced modules which are neither torsion nor torsion-free and have a nice subgroup $B$ such that $M/B$ is totally projective: if $M$ is such a module and $N$ is an *arbitrary* module such that they have isomorphic endomorphism rings then $M\cong N$.

The main aim of this note is to prove a Baer-Kaplansky theorem for arbitrarily modules over principal ideal domains (Theorem 2): if $R$ is (commutative) principal ideal domain then the correspondence (from the class of $R$-modules to the class of rings)

$$\Phi : G\mapsto \mathrm{End}_R(R\oplus G)$$

reflects isomorphisms of endomorphism rings. Moreover, this property characterizes principal ideal domains in the class of Dedekind domains: if $R$ is a Dedekind domain such that the correspondence $\Phi$ reflects isomorphisms then $R$ is a PID. The restriction to Dedekind domains is motivated by the fact that these domains have

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D70, 20K30, 13G05, 16S50.

*Key words and phrases.* Endomorphism ring, principal ideal domain, cancellation property.

Research supported by the CNCS-UEFISCDI grant PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-0065.

the *cancellation property*, i.e. the endofunctor $R \oplus - : \text{Mod-}R \to \text{Mod-}R$ on the category of all $R$-modules reflects isomorphisms:

**Theorem 1.** [12, Proposition 3.6] *Let $R$ be a Dedekind domain. If $M$ and $N$ are two $R$-modules such that $R \oplus M \cong R \oplus N$ then $M \cong N$.*

We need this property in order to obtain that $\Phi$ reflects isomorphisms (cf. Remark 6). However, in order to obtain such a correspondence which reflects isomorphisms the cancellation property is not enough, as it is proved in Proposition 7 (in contrast with the similar problem for subgroup lattices, approached in [3, Lemma 2]).

## 2. A Baer-Kaplansky theorem

The main result proved in this note is the following

**Theorem 2.** *Let $R$ be a Dedekind domain. The following are equivalent:*

1. *The ring $R$ is a principal ideal domain;*
2. *If $G$ and $H$ are $R$-modules such that $G' = R \oplus G$ and $H' = R \oplus H$ have isomorphic endomorphism rings then $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* (1)⇒(2) Let $e$ and $f$ be the idempotents in $\text{End}_R(G')$ which are induced by the direct decomposition $G' = R \oplus G$. Using the version for principal ideal domains of [6, Theorem 106.1], we observe that there are isomorphisms

$$e \,\text{End}_R(G') f \cong \text{Hom}_R(G, R)$$

and

$$f \,\text{End}_R(G') e \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, G) \cong G.$$

If $\varphi : \text{End}_R(G') \to \text{End}_R(H')$ is an isomorphism then the idempotents $\overline{e} = \varphi(e)$ and $\overline{f} = \varphi(f)$ induce a direct decomposition $H' = B \oplus K$, where $B = \overline{e}(H')$ and $K = \overline{f}(H')$. By [6, 106(d)] there is an isomorphism $\text{End}_R(B) \cong R$. Moreover, as before, we have the isomorphisms (of $R$-modules)

$$\text{Hom}_R(K, B) \cong \overline{e} \,\text{End}_R(H') \overline{f} \cong \text{Hom}_R(G, R),$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_R(B, K) \cong \overline{f} \,\text{End}_R(H') \overline{e} \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, G) \cong G.$$

*We claim that $B \cong R$.* Using this and Theorem 1 we obtain $H \cong K$, and we have

$$H \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, K) \cong \text{Hom}_R(B, K) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, G) \cong G.$$

In order to prove our claim, suppose that $B \ncong R$. Let $\alpha : B \to R$ be an $R$-homomorphism. Since $R$ is a PID it follows that $\text{Im}(\alpha) \cong R$, hence $\text{Ker}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Moreover, $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is a projective module, hence we have a direct decomposition $B \cong \text{Ker}(\alpha) \oplus \text{Im}(\alpha)$. But $\text{End}(B) \cong R$ has no non-trivial idempotents, hence $B$ is indecomposable. It follows that $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, hence $\text{Hom}_R(B, R) = 0$.

If we consider the direct decomposition $H' = R \oplus H$ and the canonical projection $\pi_R : H' \to R$, it follows that $B$ is contained in $H$, the kernel of $\pi_R$. From $H' = B \oplus K$ we obtain $H = (H \cap K) \oplus B$. Using the equalities

$$K \oplus B = R \oplus H = R \oplus (H \cap K) \oplus B$$

we deduce that $K \cong R \oplus (H \cap K)$ (as complements for the direct summand $B$), hence $K$ has a direct summand isomorphic to $R$. Therefore $\text{Hom}_R(G, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(K, B)$

has a direct summand isomorphic to $B$. Since $R$ is commutative, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(G,R)$ is an $R$-module which can be embedded as a submodule in the direct product $R^G$ of copies of $R$ (here we view $R^G$ as the set of all maps $G \to R$, endowed with pointwise addition and scalar multiplication; see [5, Exercise 43.1]). Therefore we can embed $B$ in $R^G$. Since $B \neq 0$ it follows that we can find a projection $\pi : R^G \to R$ such that $\pi(B) \neq 0$. This implies $\mathrm{Hom}_R(B,R) \neq 0$, a contradiction, and it follows that $B \cong R$.

(2)⇒(1) Let $I$ be a non-zero ideal in $R$. Since $R$ is noetherian and integrally closed we can apply [7, Theorem I.3.7] to conclude that $\mathrm{End}_R(I) \cong R$. Moreover, since $I$ is invertible, we can use Steinitz isomorphism formula, [7, p.165]. Therefore, for every positive integer $n$ we have an isomorphism $(\oplus_{k=1}^{n-1} R) \oplus I^n \cong \oplus_{k=1}^{n} I$, hence there are ring isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{End}_R((\oplus_{k=1}^{n-1} R) \oplus I^n) \cong \mathrm{End}_R(\oplus_{k=1}^{n} I) \cong M_n(R) \cong \mathrm{End}_R(\oplus_{k=1}^{n} R).$$

If $n \geq 2$ we obtain, from (2), that $(\oplus_{k=1}^{n-2} R) \oplus I^n \cong \oplus_{k=1}^{n-1} R$. Using again the cancellation property of $R$, Theorem 1, we conclude that $I^n$ is principal for all $n \geq 2$. If $C(R)$ is the ideal class group associated to $R$ and $[I]$ is the class of $I$ in this group, it follows that $[I]^n = 1$ for all $n \geq 2$, hence $[I] = 1$. Then $I$ is principal and the proof is complete. □

**Remark 3.** From the above proof it follows that if $R$ is a principal ideal domain then every ring isomorphism $\varphi : \mathrm{End}_R(R \oplus G) \to \mathrm{End}_R(R \oplus H)$ induces a direct decomposition $R \oplus H = B \oplus K$ with $B = \varphi(e)(R \oplus H) \cong R$ and $(1-\varphi(e))(R \oplus H) = K \cong G$, where $e$ is the idempotent such that $e(R \oplus G) = R$ and $(1-e)(R \oplus G) = G$. Since $B \cong R$, it is not hard to see, using the same technique as in the proof for the bounded case of [6, Theorem 108.1], that $\varphi$ is induced by an isomorphism $\psi : R \oplus G \to R \oplus H$. Therefore the above theorem can be viewed as an improvement of [19, Theorem 2.1] for the case of principal ideal domains.

**Remark 4.** A class $\mathcal{C}$ of modules is called *Baer-Kaplansly* if any two of its modules are isomorphic whenever their endomorphism rings are isomorphic as rings, [9, p. 1489]. Therefore, Theorem 2 says that the class of modules over a Dedekind domain $R$ which have a direct summand isomorphic to $R$ is a Baer-Kaplansky class if and only if $R$ is a principal ideal domain. Similar results for other kind of rings were obtained in [8, Theorem 8] for a similar class, respectively in [9, Theorem 4] for a particular class of modules over FGC-rings.

As a consequence of Theorem 2 we obtain that locally free modules over principal ideal domains are determined by their endomorphism rings. This is also a consequence of [21, Theorem A].

**Corollary 5.** *If two locally free modules over a principal ideal domain have isomorphic endomorphism rings then they are isomorphic.*

**Remark 6.** In the proof of Theorem 2 we used the cancellation property of the regular module $R$. If $R$ has not this property (e.g. there are Dedekind-like domains without cancellation property, [11]) then there are two $R$-modules $G \ncong H$ such that $R \oplus G \cong R \oplus H$, hence $\mathrm{End}_R(R \oplus G) \cong \mathrm{End}_R(R \oplus H)$. If we write these endomorphism rings as matrix rings

$$\mathrm{End}_R(R \oplus G) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{End}_R(R) & \mathrm{Hom}_R(G,R) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R,G) & \mathrm{End}_R(G) \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} R & \mathrm{Hom}_R(G,R) \\ G & \mathrm{End}_R(G) \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively

$$\mathrm{End}_R(R\oplus H)\cong\begin{pmatrix} R & \mathrm{Hom}_R(H,R)\\ H & \mathrm{End}_R(H)\end{pmatrix},$$

we observe that the $(2,1)$-blocks in these representations are isomorphic to $G$, respectively to $H$. These two blocks are not isomorphic even the corresponding matrix rings are isomorphic. It is obvious that in this case Theorem 2 is not valid.

We will prove that we cannot replace in the implication (1) ⇒ (2) of Theorem 2 the direct summand $R$ by an arbitrary module wich have the cancellation property. The following proposition shows that the property of the regular module $R$ stated in Theorem 2 is more stronger than the usual cancellation property (see [18, Theorem B]).

**Proposition 7.** *The following are equivalent for an indecomposable torsion-free abelian group $F\neq 0$ of finite rank:*

(1) *If $G$ and $H$ are abelian groups such that $\mathrm{End}(F\oplus G)\cong\mathrm{End}(F\oplus H)$ then $G\cong H$;*
(2) *$F\cong\mathbb{Z}$.*

*Proof.* (1)⇒(2) If $F$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ then $F\cong\mathbb{Q}$ or $F$ is a reduced abelian group which has no free direct summands.

For the case $F\cong\mathbb{Q}$, we can choose $G$ and $H$ two non-isomorphic subgroups of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathrm{End}(G)=\mathrm{End}(H)=\mathbb{Z}$. It is not hard to see that both endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}(F\oplus G)$ and $\mathrm{End}(F\oplus H)$ are isomorphic to the matrix ring $\begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{Q} & 0\\ \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Z}\end{pmatrix}$, so $F$ does not verify the condition (1).

If $F$ is a reduced abelian group which has no free direct summands then we can construct, using [18, Theorem], two (reduced) finite rank torsion-free groups $G$ and $H$ of the same rank such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F,G)=\mathrm{Hom}(F,H)=\mathrm{Hom}(G,F)=\mathrm{Hom}(H,F)=0$$

and

$$\mathrm{End}(G)=\mathrm{End}(H)=\mathbb{Z}.$$

In this case both endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}(F\oplus G)$ and $\mathrm{End}(F\oplus H)$ are isomorphic to the ring $\mathrm{End}(F)\times\mathbb{Z}$, so $F$ does not verify the condition (1).

(2)⇒(1) This is a consequence of Theorem 2. □

**Remark 8.** There are also versions for the Baer-Kaplansky theorem proved for automorphism groups, Jacobson radicals or for ring anti-isomorphisms, [4], [10], [13], [17]. It would be nice to know if Theorem 2 is still true if we consider only automorphism groups or Jacobson radicals.

**Acknowldgements:** I would like to thank professor Luigi Salce for his help to prove the implication (2)⇒(1) in Theorem 2.

## References

[1] D. M. Arnold: Finite Rank Torsion Free Abelian Groups and Rings, Lect. Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, **931**, (1982).
[2] E. Blagoveshchenskaya, G. Ivanov, P. Schultz: *The Baer- Kaplansky theorem for almost completely decomposable groups*, Contemporary Mathematics 273 (2001), 85–93.

[3] S. Breaz, G. Călugăreanu: *Every Abelian group is determined by a subgroup lattice*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 45 (2008), 135–137.
[4] A.L.S. Corner, B. Goldsmith, S.L. Wallutis: *Anti-isomorphisms and the failure of duality*, in "Models, modules and abelian groups. In memory of A. L. S. Corner", Walter de Gruyter, 2008,. 315–323.
[5] L. Fuchs: Infinite Abelian Groups I, Academic Press, 1970.
[6] L. Fuchs: Infinite Abelian Groups II, Academic Press, 1973.
[7] L. Fuchs, L. Salce: Modules over non-Noetherian domains, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 84, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
[8] G. Ivanov: *Generalizing the Baer-Kaplansky theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 133 (1998), 107–115.
[9] G. Ivanov, P. Vámos: *A characterization of FGC rings*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. 32 (2002), 1485–1492.
[10] J. Hausen, J., C. Praeger, P. Schultz: *Most abelian p-groups are determined by the Jacobson radical of their endomorphism rings*, Math Z. 216 (1994), 431–436.
[11] W. Hassler, R. Wiegand: *Direct sum cancellation for modules over one-dimensional rings*, J. Algebra 283 (2005), 93–124.
[12] T.Y. Lam: *A crash course on stable range, cancellation, substitution and exchange*, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004), 301–343.
[13] H. Leptin: *Abelsche p-Gruppen und ihre Automorphismengruppen*, Math. Z. 73 (1960), 235-253.
[14] W. Liebert: *Endomorphism rings of free modules over principal ideal domains*, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 323-328.
[15] W. May: *Isomorphism of endomorphism algebras over complete discrete valuation rings*, Math. Z. 204 (1990), 485–499.
[16] F. Richman, E.A. Walker: *Primary abelian groups as modules over their endomorphism rings*, Math. Z. 89 (1965), 77–81.
[17] P. Schultz, A. Sebeldin, A.L. Sylla: *Determination of torsion Abelian groups by their automorphism groups*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 67 (2003), 511–519.
[18] J. Stelzer: *A cancellation criterion for finite-rank torsion-free Abelian groups*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 94 (1985), 363–368.
[19] K. Wolfson: *Isomorphisms Between Endomorphism Rings of Modules*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 1971–1973.
[20] K. Wolfson: *Anti-Isomorphisms of Endomorphism Rings of Locally Free Modules*, Math.Z. 202 (1989), 151-159.
[21] K.G. Wolfson: *Isomorphisms of the endomorphism rings of torsion-free modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 589–594.

Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Str. Mihail Kogălniceanu 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
*E-mail address*: bodo@math.ubbcluj.ro